

From: BRCAC (ECN) <brcac@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2020 7:39 PM
To: ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Public comments virtual meeting balboa reservoir...

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2020 4:08 PM
To: BRCAC (ECN) <brcac@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comments virtual meeting balboa reservoir...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As I am unable to call in or attend due to an injury please accept the comments below.

1) in a similar vein to other large scale institutional growth plans and housing developments in SF efforts on infrastructural changes and forward mass transit policy is lagging very behind these development projects. Muni and Bart cannot and have not stepped up their larger scale planning endeavors to meet the population growth from these projects. It is critical to provide infrastructural investment in transit capacity and system linkages even if in secondary means. I have suggested a joint development of a "high-line" e-shuttle and walkway that would directly connect the reservoir area down the southern edge of CCSF over to Tony Sacco way and Balboa Park Bart station. It would link to CCSF planing for a garage entry on the eastern edge parking lots and provide the police station and LWHs and park area adjacent with a connective system. Bikes pedestrians and the e-shuttle would run on this planted median walkway above traffic and have elevators and escalators at the ends and mid section to allow movement.

It's more conceptual in nature. It it would be a very solid step to solving part of the problems along CCSF's southern and eastern edge and loss of Parking concerns. Muni and Bart also have impacts parking wise so the joint development of an east side plinth platform with parking below and student and faculty housing above I'm the existing bungalows and parking areas adjacent to the freeway would be a win win situation.

I have spoken prior on the needs for a more collaborative process but like SFSU-CSU parkmerced and stonestowns redevelopment projects and domino effects it falls on deaf ears unless everyone is brought to the table and force to work with the same cards dealt.

I would gladly walk the area and sketch the issue but this project provides some housing affordability but misses the mark on other issues for infrastructural changes and a more collaborative solution pushed forward on transit.

With congestion on ocean ave during school periods at a standstill and the freeway off ramp project yet to begin there will be more concerns when construction delivery vehicles and workers begin parking in the area for this large project alongside the CCSF projects....

Please take this into account and push for a more transit collaborative solution listening to prior input by the balboa park area plan cac and members of that panels concerns on the redevelopment of the reservoir.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman D11 resident

(Former chair BPSCAC)

Sent from my iPhone